

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE MINAS GERAIS
Departamento de Fonoaudiologia

Índices Acústico Multiparamétricos AVQI e ABI: análise do efeito do tamanho da tarefa de
fala encadeada

Trabalho apresentado para obtenção do
título de graduação em Fonoaudiologia pela
Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais

Sofia Romagnoli Borges Lima
Orientadora: Ana Cristina Côrtes Gama
Coorientadora: Fabiana Andrade Penido

Belo Horizonte
2026

Sofia Romagnoli Borges Lima

ÍNDICES ACÚSTICO MULTIPARAMÉTRICOS AVQI E ABI: ANÁLISE DO EFEITO DO
TAMANHO DA TAREFA DE FALA ENCADEADA

Orientadora: Ana Cristina Côrtes Gama

Coorientadora: Fabiana Andrade Penido

Belo Horizonte

2026

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aims to compare the outcomes of the multiparametric acoustic indices, namely the Acoustic Voice Quality Index (AVQI) and the Acoustic Breathiness Index (ABI), across various lengths of connected speech tasks.

Methods: An observational, analytical, cross-sectional study was conducted with a convenience sample of 86 teachers (48 females and 38 males, aged between 27 and 55 years) who had no laryngeal alterations and exhibited neutral or mild vocal deviation (G0 or G1 on the GRBASI scale). Participants underwent otorhinolaryngological and perceptual-auditory voice assessments performed by experienced professionals. The laryngeal examination was considered normal if it showed complete glottic closure and no lesions on the vocal folds (VFs). The speech-language pathology evaluation involved a perceptual-auditory assessment conducted by three speech-language pathologists, each with over 10 years of experience. Samples of sustained vowel [a] and connected speech through counting from 1 to 20 at habitual frequency and intensity were collected. The connected speech samples were edited and segmented into different task lengths (15 to 42 syllables) allowing the comparison of progressively longer speech segments. AVQI and ABI values were extracted using a validated *Praat* script, based on the central three seconds of the sustained vowel and the corresponding connected speech segments. Statistical analysis was performed at a 5% significance level, employing Friedman and Tukey Pairwise tests to compare the different task lengths. To assess the intra-rater agreement of the three speech-language pathologists, 20% of the sample was replicated. The perceptual-auditory agreement analysis was conducted using the Second-Order Agreement Coefficient (AC2), calculated with R software, version 3.2.

Results: The sample comprised 86 teachers, including both genders, all native speakers of Brazilian Portuguese. Among the 48 female participants, 24 teachers exhibited a mild overall degree of vocal quality deviation (G1) in the perceptual-auditory evaluation, whereas the other 24 were classified with a neutral voice (G0). In the male group of 38 participants, 11 teachers were identified as G1, and 27 as G0. The results demonstrated that AVQI values progressively increased as the number of syllables in the connected speech task increased, indicating that this index is sensitive to speech sample length. In males, statistically significant differences were observed starting at 33 syllables, corresponding to counting from 1 to 17. In females, differences were noted beginning at 29 syllables, corresponding to counting from 1 to 16. Conversely, ABI values did not show statistically significant differences across the various speech task lengths for either males or females, indicating

stability of this index regardless of the duration of the connected speech sample and supporting its robustness across different speech conditions and evaluation situations.

Conclusion: The length of the connected speech task significantly influences AVQI results, highlighting the importance of standardizing speech material in vocal assessment protocols to ensure consistency, reliability, and comparability across clinical and research contexts. ABI remained stable across different task lengths and effective for analyzing vocal breathiness in various contexts. These findings support maintaining standardized speech tasks to ensure consistent and reliable acoustic assessments.

Keywords: Voice, Speech Therapy, Speech Acoustics, Index.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Comparar os resultados dos índices acústicos multiparamétricos Acoustic Voice Quality Index (AVQI) e Acoustic Breathiness Index (ABI) em diferentes tamanhos de tarefas de fala encadeada.

Métodos: Trata-se de um estudo observacional, analítico e transversal, realizado com uma amostra de conveniência composta por 86 professores (48 mulheres e 38 homens, com idades entre 27 e 55 anos), sem alterações laríngeas e com qualidade vocal classificada como neutra ou com desvio leve (G0 ou G1 na escala GRBASI). Os participantes foram submetidos à avaliação otorrinolaringológica e à avaliação perceptivo-auditiva da voz, realizadas por profissionais experientes. O exame laríngeo foi considerado normal quando apresentava fechamento glótico completo e ausência de lesões nas pregas vocais (PPV). A avaliação fonoaudiológica envolveu análise perceptivo-auditiva realizada por três fonoaudiólogas, cada uma com mais de 10 anos de experiência. Foram coletadas amostras da vogal sustentada [a] e de fala encadeada por meio da contagem de 1 a 20, em frequência e intensidade habituais. As amostras de fala encadeada foram editadas e segmentadas em diferentes extensões de tarefa (15 a 42 sílabas), permitindo a comparação entre segmentos progressivamente mais longos. Os valores de AVQI e ABI foram extraídos por meio de um script validado no software *Praat*, usando os três segundos centrais da vogal sustentada e as tarefas fala encadeada. A análise estatística foi realizada com nível de significância de 5%, utilizando os testes de Friedman e comparações múltiplas de Tukey para comparar os diferentes comprimentos de tarefa. Para avaliar a concordância intra-examinadora entre as três fonoaudiólogas, 20% da amostra foi replicada. A análise de concordância perceptivo-auditiva (AC2) foi realizada por meio do Coeficiente de Concordância de Segunda Ordem, calculado no software R, versão 3.2.

Resultados: A amostra foi composta por 86 professores, de ambos os sexos, todos falantes nativos do português brasileiro. Entre as 48 participantes do sexo feminino, 24 professoras apresentaram grau leve de desvio na qualidade vocal (G1) na avaliação perceptivo-auditiva, enquanto as outras 24 foram classificadas com voz neutra (G0). No grupo masculino, composto por 38 participantes, 11 professores foram classificados como G1 e 27 como G0. Os resultados demonstraram que os valores de AVQI aumentaram progressivamente à medida que o número de sílabas na tarefa de fala encadeada aumentou, indicando que esse índice é sensível ao comprimento da amostra de fala. No grupo dos homens, diferenças estatisticamente significativas foram observadas a partir de 33 sílabas (contagem de 1 a 17). Já no grupo das mulheres, a diferença surgiu a partir de 29 sílabas (contagem de 1 a 16). Em

contrapartida, o ABI, não houve variações estatisticamente significativas nos diferentes tamanhos da tarefa de fala, em ambos os sexos.

Conclusão: O tamanho da tarefa de fala influencia os resultados do AVQI, sugerindo a necessidade de padronização na avaliação vocal para garantir consistência. Contudo, o ABI mostrou-se estável, sendo adequado para a análise da soprosonidade vocal em diferentes contextos. Os achados apresentados reforçam a necessidade de manter tarefas de fala padronizadas, garantindo avaliações acústicas consistentes e confiáveis.

Palavras-chave: Voz, Fonoaudiologia, Acústica da Fala, Índices.

REFERENCES

1. Behlau M. VOZ: O Livro do Especialista - Volume 1. In: Behlau M. *Avaliação de Voz*. Rio de Janeiro, RJ: Revinter; 2004;85-180.
2. Patel RR, Awan SN, Barkmeier-Kraemer J, Courey M, Deliyski D, Eadie T, et al. Recommended Protocols for Instrumental Assessment of Voice: American Speech-Language-Hearing Association Expert Panel to Develop a Protocol for Instrumental Assessment of Vocal Function. *J Speech Lang Pathol*. 2018;27(3):887–905. http://doi.org/10.1044/2018_AJSLP-17-0009
3. González IS. Videolaringoestroboscopia: Una Técnica para Visualizar las Cuerdas Vocales [thesis on the Internet]. Barcelona: Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Barcelona; 2008 [cited 2024 Oct 12]. 15 p. Available from: <https://revistes.ub.edu/index.php/experimentalphonetics/article/view/44311/40212>
4. Oliveira RC, Teixeira LC, Gama ACC, Medeiros AM. Análise perceptivo-auditiva, acústica e autopercepção vocal em crianças. *J Soc Bras Fonoaudiol*. 2011;23(2):158-63. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S2179-64912011000200013>
5. Penido FA, Gama ACC. Accuracy Analysis of the Multiparametric Acoustic Indices AVQI, ABI, and DSI for Speech-Language Pathologist Decision-Making. *J Voice*. 2022; in press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2022.11.027>
6. Valentim AF, Côrtes MG, Gama ACC. Análise espectrográfica da voz: efeito do treinamento visual na confiabilidade da avaliação. *Rev Soc Bras Fonoaudiol*. 2010;15(3):335-42. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-80342010000300005>
7. Englert M, Lima L, Constantini AC, Latoszek BBV, Maryn Y, Behlau M. Acoustic Voice Quality Index - AVQI para o português brasileiro: análise de diferentes materiais de fala. *CoDAS*. 2019;31(1):e20180082. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-1782/20182018082>

8. Hofman EC, Dassie-Leite AP, Martins PN, Pereira EC. Medidas acústicas de CPPS e AVQI pré e pós terapia fonoaudiológica. *CoDAS*. 2023;35(6):e20220136. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2317-1782/20232022136pt>
9. Englert M, Latoszek BBV, Behlau M. The impact of languages and cultural backgrounds on voice quality analyses. *Folia Phoniatr Logop*. 2022;74(2):141-152. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000518206>
10. Lammert A, Melot J, Sturim D, Hannon D, DeLaura R, Williamson J, Ciccarelli G, Quatieri T. Analysis of Phonetic Balance in Standard English Passages. *JSLHR*. 2020; 63 (4): 917-930. https://doi.org/10.1044/2020_JSLHR-19-00001.
11. Barsties B, Maryn Y. External Validation of the Acoustic Voice Quality Index Version 03.01 With Extended Representativity. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 2016;125(7):571-83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003489416636131>
12. Hosokawa K, Latoszek BBV, Iwahashi T, Iwahashi M, Iwaki S, Kato C, et al. The Acoustic Voice Quality Index Version 03.01 for the Japanese-speaking Population. *J Voice*. 2017;33(1):125.e1–125.e12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2017.10.003>
13. Hernández JD, Gómez NML, Jiménez A, Izquierdo LM, Latoszek BBV. Validation of the Acoustic Voice Quality Index Version 03.01 and the Acoustic Breathiness Index in the Spanish language. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 2018;127(5):317-326. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003489418761096>
14. Kim GH, Latoszek BBV, Lee YW. Validation of Acoustic Voice Quality Index Version 3.01 and Acoustic Breathiness Index in Korean Population. *J Voice*. 2021;35(4):660.e9-660.e18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2019.10.005>
15. Latoszek BBV, Lehnert B, Janotte B. Validation of the Acoustic Voice Quality Index Version 03.01 and Acoustic Breathiness Index in German. *J Voice*.

2020;34(1):157.e17-157.e25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2018.07.026>

16. Pommee T, Maryn Y, Finck C, Morsomme D. Validation of the Acoustic Voice Quality Index, Version 03.01, in French. *J Voice*. 2020;34(4):646.e11–646.e26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2018.12.008>
17. Kankare E, Rantala L, Ikavalko T, Latoszek BBV, Laukkanen AM. Akustisen äänenlaatuindeksin (AVQI) version 03.01 validointi suomenkielisille puhujille. *Puhe ja Kieli*. 2020;40(3):165-182. <https://doi.org/10.23997/pk.101516>
18. Englert M, Latoszek BBV, Maryn Y, Behlau M. Validation of the Acoustic Voice Quality Index, Version 03.01, to the Brazilian Portuguese Language. *J Voice*. 2021;35(1):160.e15-160.e21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2019.07.024>
19. Fantini M, Maccarini AR, Firino A, Gallia M, Carlino V, Gorris C, et al. Validation of the Acoustic Voice Quality Index (AVQI) Version 03.01 in Italian. *J Voice*. 2021;37(4):631.e1–631.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2021.02.029>
20. Englert M, Latoszek BBV, Maryn Y, Behlau M. Validation of the acoustic breathiness index to the Brazilian Portuguese language. *Logoped Phoniatr Vocol*. 2022;47(1):56-62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14015439.2020.1864467>
21. Menoncin LCM, Jurkiewicz AL, Silvério KCA, Camargo PM, Wolf NMM. Alterações Musculares e Esqueléticas Cervicais em Mulheres Disfônicas. *Arq Int Otorrinolaringol*. 2010;14(4):461-466. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1809-48722010000400014>
22. Dejonckere PH, Remacle M, Fresnel-Elbaz E, et al. Differentiated perceptual evaluation of pathological voice quality: reliability and correlations with acoustic measurements. *Rev Laryngol Otol Rhinol Bord*. 1996;117:219–224.

23. Borges VS, Bergami EG, Azevedo EHM, Guimarães MF. Protocolo Consensus Auditory-perceptual Evaluation of Voice (CAPE-V) e GRBASI: adaptação em formato digital. *Distúrb Comun.* 2022;34(1): e54343. <https://doi.org/10.23925/2176-2724.2022v34i1e54343>
24. Boersma P, Weenink D. Praat: doing phonetics by computer. Version 5.2.25. 2011. Available at: www.praat.org.
25. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics.* 1977;33(1):159-174. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2529310>
26. Englert M, Lima L, Latoszek BBV, Behlau M. Influence of the Voice Sample Length in Perceptual and Acoustic Voice Quality Analysis. *Journal of Voice.* 2022;36(4): 582.e23-582.e32. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2020.07.010>
27. Barsties B, Maryn Y. The improvement of internal consistency of the Acoustic Voice Quality Index. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* 2015;36(5):647-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjoto.2015.04.012>
28. Hernandorena CLM. Aquisição de Língua Materna e de Língua Estrangeira: Aspectos fonético-fonológicos - Volume 1. 1th ed. Pelotas, RS: Editora da Universidade Católica de Pelotas (UCPel); 2001.
29. Rehn R. The effect of age and different speech tasks on the Acoustic Voice Quality Index [thesis on the Internet]. Sweden: Faculdade de Medicina, Umeå University; 2023 [cited 2025 Feb 28]. 35 p. Available from: <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1808589&dswid=562>.
30. Jayakumar T, Benoy JJ, Yasin HM. Effect of age and gender on acoustic voice quality index across lifespan: A cross-sectional study in Indian population. *J Voice.* 2020;36(3):436.e1–436.e8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2020.05.025>

31. Latoszek BBV, Ulozaitė-Stanienė N, Maryn Y, Petrauskas T, Uloza V. The influence of gender and age on the acoustic voice quality index and dysphonia severity index: A normative study. *J Voice*. 2019;33(3):340–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2017.11.011>
32. Castillo-Allendes A, Codino J, Cantor-Cutiva LC, Nudelman CJ, Rubin AD, Latoszek BBV, Hunter EJ. Clinical Utility and Validation of the Acoustic Voice Quality and Acoustic Breathiness Indexes for Voice Disorder Assessment in English Speakers. *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(24):7679. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12247679>
33. Hosokawa K, Latoszek BBV, Ferrer-Riesgo CA, Iwahashi T, Iwahashi M, Iwaki S, Kato C, Yoshida M, Umatani M, Miyauchi A, Matsushiro N, Inohara H, Ogawa M, Mary Y. Acoustic Breathiness Index for the Japanese-Speaking Population: Validation Study and Exploration of Affecting Factors. *J Speech Lang Hear Res*. 2019;62(8):2617-2631. https://doi.org/10.1044/2019_JSLHR-S-19-0077
34. Jayakumar T, Benoy JJ. Validation of Acoustic Breathiness Index (ABI) in the South Indian Population. *J Voice*. 2022;38(6):1528.e1–1528.e9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2022.05.006>
35. Hejna M, Sturm P, Tyleckova L, Boril T. Normophonic Breathiness in Czech and Danish: Are Females Breathier Than Males? *J Voice*. 2019;35(3):498.e1–498.e22. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvoice.2019.10.019>
36. Uloza V, Pribuišis K, Ulozaite-Staniene N, Petrauskas T, Damaševičius R, Maskeliunas R. Accuracy Analysis of the Multiparametric Acoustic Voice Indices, the VWI, AVQI, ABI, and DSI Measures, in Differentiating between Normal and Dysphonic Voices. *J Clin Med*. 2023;13(1):99. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13010099>